UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,166	05/31/2006	Donald J. Marsh	21457P	3912
210 MERCK AND	7590 09/16/200 CO., INC	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 2000		TELLER, ROY R		
RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/581,166	MARSH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ROY TELLER	1654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Jul</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-5,7,8 and 11-15 is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,6,9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	are withdrawn from consideration				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of th	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/17/06.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's election with traverse of the restriction requirement in the reply filed on 7/8/08 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the compounds are related structurally and share a common utility. This is not found persuasive because each chemical entity has different substituents within the various variable positions and are distinct, each from the other. See restriction requirement, page 2.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Applicant has elected compound A of example 4. Claims 1, 6, 9 and 10 are readable on this structure.

Claims 2-5, 7-8 and 11-15 are withdrawn as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claims 1, 6, 9 and 10 are under examination as they read upon the elected compound A.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement, received 7/17/06, is acknowledged. A signed copy is enclosed hereto.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,166 Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

Claims 1, 6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of inhibiting alcohol consumption comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a selective melanocortin 4 receptor agonist, that selective melanocortin 4 receptor agonist being compound A, does not reasonably provide enablement for a method of inhibiting alcohol consumption comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a selective melanocortin 4 receptor agonist. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

In this regard, the application disclosure and claims have been compared per the factors indicated in the decision *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir., 1988) as to undue experimentation. The factors include:

- 1) the nature of the invention;
- 2) the breadth of the claims;
- 3) the predictability or unpredictability of the art
- 4) the amount of direction or guidance presented;
- 5) the presence or absence of working examples;
- 6) the quantity of experimentation necessary;
- 7) the state of the prior art; and,
- 8) the relative skill of those skilled in the art;

Each factor is addressed below on the basis of comparison of the disclosure, the claims and the state of the prior art in the assessment of undue experimentation.

The claimed invention is drawn to a method of inhibiting, reducing, or treating alcohol consumption/abuse comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a selective melanocortin 4 receptor agonist.

Art Unit: 1654

The breadth of the claims is excessive with regard to claiming a method of inhibiting, reducing, or treating alcohol consumption/abuse comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a selective melanocortin 4 receptor agonist.

Applicant has only provided guidance for the use of a method of inhibiting alcohol consumption comprising administering a therapeutically effective amount of a selective melanocortin 4 receptor agonist, that selective melanocortin 4 receptor agonist being compound A. Applicant have provided no guidance of any other compound which inhibits alcohol consumption. In absence of evidence to the contrary, it would not be expected that any and all selective melanocortin 4 receptor agonists would inhibit alcohol consumption.

Furthermore, it would not be predictable to the artisan which substance acts as a inhibitory substance would work in the present invention, nor would it be predictable to the artisan which pathologies could be treated with these ingredients that inhibit alcohol consumption or act as a inhibitory substance.

In consideration of these factors, it is apparent that there is undue experimentation because of a variability in prediction of outcome that is not addressed by the present application.

Absent factual data to the contrary, the amount and level of experimentation needed is undue to practice the invention as claimed.

Accordingly, with respect to the elected invention, others skilled in the art would be unable to practice the invention as claimed without undue experimentation and with a reasonable expectation of success, other than using a selective melanocortin 4 receptor agonist, that selective melanocortin 4 receptor agonist being compound A to provide the functional effects instantly claimed, as shown in instant example 4, pages 23-24.

#### Conclusion

# All claims are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROY TELLER whose telephone number is (571)272-0971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RT1654 9/9/08 /Christopher R. Tate/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655